VARIANCE HARDSHIP WORKSHEET

ls th	ne requ	est due to a Code Enforcement action?			
	Yes.	If Yes, indicate case number	, and		
		name of contractor		-a	
Ø	No.				
Pre	requisi	tes to granting of variance:			
that und for be retu	ditions dship. withou er exis establiconside	e may be granted when it will not be contrary to the publi, a literal enforcement of the provisions of this chapter w. The term "undue hardship" has a specific legal definition at the requested variance, the applicant will have no reasting development regulations. Personal medical reason shing undue hardship sufficient to qualify an applicant forered only in instances where a landowner cannot yield a ler the existing land development regulations. You have since.	ill result n in this sonable s shall i r a varia reason	t in unnecessary and unduction context and essentially meduse of the subject property not be considered as grour ance. Economic reasons nable use and/or reasonable	eans y nds nay e
		authorize any variance from the terms of this chapter, thing factors to exist:	ne Boar	d of Adjustment shall find a	all of
(1) stru		at special conditions and circumstances exist which are or buildings in the applicable zoning classification:	not app	licable to other lands,	
	e encr	oachment of the asphalt pad on the property was alread orty.	y prese	nt when the applicant boug	ht
(2)	Th	at the special conditions and circumstances do not resul	t from t	he actions of the applicant:	
-		cant purchased the property in 2023. The asphault pad v			
end —	roachi	ng over the setback at that time. The owner solely place	ed a sh	led on the existing asphalt	pad —
		at granting the variance requested will not confer on the the provisions of this chapter to other lands, buildings of the con:			it is
		he variance is not a special privilege to the owner as new within the setback requirements.	ighborin	ng owners have built simila	<u> </u>

(4) That literal enforcement of the provisions of this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the identical zoning classification under the provisions of this chapter and will constitute unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant:
Literal enforecement would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties such
as the right to use their property and to use the structure for its intended use. A denial would cause
unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant. The applicant would have to demolish the
asphalt pad and shed, which would cause an undue hardship.
(5) That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure:
The encroachment is 5.2 feet on the Northwest side. This variance is the minimum necessary to allow reasonable use of the structure.
(6) That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this chapter and that such use variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare:
The asphalt pad and shed is an innocuous addition to the property and poses no foreseeable harm to the public welfare.

I understand that all of the above conditions apply to the consideration of a variance and that each of these conditions have been discussed with me by the below-signed zoning representative. I am fully aware that it is my responsibility to prove complete compliance with the aforementioned criteria.
Signature of applicant Company Office (1997)
Signature of planner Pau Body