

CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION MEETING

Thursday, August 12, 2021

3:00 p.m.

Brevard County Government Center
2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way
Viera, Florida 32940
Building C, Florida Room

A. Welcome and Introduction of County Staff

Jim Liesenfelt, Assistant County Manager:

Welcome everyone to the 2021 Charter Review Commission, your first meeting. I want to thank you all for being willing to serve on our very important function here of our Brevard County's government. A number of you have served before on the Charter Review Commission. I just want to go over a couple of quick things and then we will talk a little bit about routine and then you guys can get into your meeting. Just as a reminder, this is a Commission that meets every six years to look through whether you want to present to the County Commissions your recommendations for amendments to the charter. You have one year to present the recommendations to the Commission, so basically the clock starts today. So, you have until October (excuse me) August 12th of next year to present to the Commission. You can also decide that no amendments are appropriate to send up to for recommendation to the County Commission. It is made up of fifteen members, there is three members from each district office. I lost track of my count, we have nine folks here, and then we have Kendall Moore, he is on Zoom. You can see him on that screen, not on that screen, but you can see he is staying quiet there. So, we have Kendall there. So, we have a quorum that when we get down to elect the chair and the business, we have enough folks. I hate to do it. Can we? (Speaking off the mic). She has got it exactly right. We left the chair, we left the center chair there open for when you guys elect the Chair.

A couple of routine items for you all to know right now are all the microphones here at the table are hot. If you see that they have the bright green light on, if you want to turn them off you just hit the push. But we just make sure that you hit push before you speak again. We are broadcasting this through our Space Coast TV and the You Tube Channel. The most important part of the You Tube Channel that we use that believe it or not is we are taking it up for closed captioning, that actually makes it easiest for us to provide you all with the verbatim minutes. So, that's the main reason let us know if you want to continue on the Space Coast TV. The agendas and all the meeting details can be found on our County home page. When you go to our County home page, you click on board meetings, that will be a listing of all of the public commissions and advisory boards. You will see Charter Review on there, you can click on, as we get further along, you will see agendas on there, you will see minutes, and you will see different agenda packages. The charter itself is also on the County web page further along on the web page, you

can also see the 2015-2016 Charter Review Commissions and actions and agendas that they have had there.

We will be providing to you all secretarial and legal staff of your own. Our secretarial staff, poor Melissa she is the first one to get highlighted. We have Melissa Brandt right there. We are bringing her on board, she is nice enough to come in the meeting to watch and observe. And you will see in your agenda package that we have Stephen Darling here to talk about legal services to bring everything together.

Since I introduced Melissa, I will kind of go around this way with our staff members here. Actually, I am going to go this way. Over here we have Frank Abbate, he is our County Manager. You don't have to clap. We have Commissioner Bryan Lober, we just made you staff. We have Stephen Darling, he is our Central Services Director and like I said he will be introducing the subject about of legal RFQ. We have Abby Jorandby who is right now the Deputy County Attorney, who will soon be the County Attorney. She is hiding over there. Melissa Brandt, she will be your secretarial staff and providing staff throughout the process, and then we have Teresa Rivera she is one of our Administrative Assistants in the County Manager's office, so she was helping out with this meeting and I haven't given my name. I'm Jim Liesenfelt, I'm the Assistant County Manager. So, Melissa and myself will be your staff contacts back and forth.

I am going off what we did the last set of minutes, the last meetings, is we asked everyone from the Commission to introduce themselves, I am sure most of you know each other, but just in case, it also helps us to get your voice on the tape, gets us to recognize voices a little bit better and it helps with the closed captioning. So, I am going to start with the one person I know:

B. Commission Member Introductions- (Each Member Introduces themselves)

Marcia Newell (District 1)-Present
Kendall Moore (District 1) Via Zoom
Mike Haridopolos (District 2)-Present
Marie Rogerson (District 2)-Present
Blaise Trettis (District 2)-Present
Matt Nye (District 3)-Present
Bob White (District 3)-Present
Tom Jenkins (District 4)-Present
Cole Oliver (District 4)-Present
Sue Schmitt (District 4)-Present
Jordin Chandler (District 5)-Present
Dave Neuman (District 5)-Present
Robin Fisher (District 1)-Absent
Billy Prasad (District 2)-Absent
Vic Luebker (District 5)-Absent

C. Florida Sunshine Law Overview:

Jim Liesenfelt, Assistant County Manager:

Okay, and so what we are going to do now is we are going to have Abby she is going to come up and provide an overview and review of the Sunshine Law as this is a Sunshine Commission.

Abigail Jorandby, Deputy County Attorney:

Power Point Presentation:

All right so good afternoon everyone, my name is Abby Jorandby, I'm the Deputy County Attorney so I would like to take this time just to do a brief overview for Sunshine Law and public records, it will be very quick. Obviously if you have any questions, you can always reach out and ask any questions you have. Just so everyone is aware,

What is a Sunshine Law?

So, Florida has in our Constitution government in the Sunshine Law or the Open Meetings Law. It provides the public access to our meeting. So, any member of the public could come to this meeting and attend and participate so it is essentially is the Sunshine, everything has to be in the Sunshine as you will hear.

What does that meeting requirement actually look like?

So, it seems pretty simple, the requirements are that we have to keep the meetings of the public boards or this commission specifically open to the public. They have to be allowed in, we have to give reasonable notice of all meetings and we also have to take minutes of the meeting. So, you will see Teresa and Melissa in the back, they will take obviously have our minutes prepared every time we have a meeting. So, it seems pretty simple.

Open Meeting Requirements

But how it applies to you as a member of this commission is that these requirements apply even if you are not in this boardroom. So, the law applies to any gathering whether formal or casual of two or more members of the same board. So, any two members of this particular commission, anytime when they come together to discuss a matter which may be foreseeable action will be taken by that board. It doesn't matter the location so for some reason, if two members of a commission get together and start talking about something that's coming up on an upcoming agenda that technically is a Sunshine meeting and actually has to be publicly noticed, members of the public have to attend and minutes have to be taken. I made a note here it doesn't matter the location, you can be on the golf course, you could be at Publix, so you want to avoid that happening at all because that would be a violation of the Sunshine law. If you heard someone say that you have to be at a publicly noticed meeting to discuss business amongst yourself whether it is two or more persons of this commission. So, keep that in mind as you go about your day to day activities.

So, boiling that down it could also be not just actually meeting and talking about an item, it could be written correspondence, emails, text messages, or any other electronic means, phone conversations, Facebook social media interactions. Any of that that occurs between two members of the same body like this commission about business that could come before you, for a vote would be a violation of the Sunshine if it did not occur in a

publicly noticed meeting such as this. So, it doesn't matter the actual location, nor does it matter the transmission. It could be by email, it could be having a private lunch. Any of those would be a violation of the Sunshine or Open Meeting requirements. So, keep that in mind always be cognizant of that when you are going about your business.

Reasonable Notice Requirement

And also, we obviously talked about the reasonable notice requirement it's for all public meetings, so every time we have a meeting of this board, there is notice given so the members of the public can come and participate at that meeting.

We require, what is required is basically time and place of the meeting, and if there is available the agenda. As you can see we have an agenda for today that is provided so the public can know what we are discussing.

Minutes

Minutes of this meeting will be kept as I mentioned before verbatim is typically not something that is required, however we will be doing verbatim minutes for this. It does help because this board, as this board does not meet as often as our regular board of Commission. It is nice to have those verbatim minutes so many years down the road so people can see what the prior board did. They are promptly recorded and they are supposed to be open to inspection by the public. There is actually no specific time frame as to when those minutes have to be prepared and adopted, but we try to do them pretty much the next meeting.

Social Gathering

So, with those parameters in mind, as members of this Commission, what does that mean? Does that mean that two members of this Commission cannot socialize? No, that is not what I am saying. It doesn't mean you can't go to Starbucks together and get coffee. It's really avoiding the appearance of impropriety and making sure you do not discuss this board's business outside of a public meeting. So, it doesn't mean you can't go to dinner, it doesn't mean you cannot play golf, it doesn't mean you can't go to Starbucks and get a coffee. It's just keeping in mind if it is something that will foreseeably come before this board for a vote or decision that is something you cannot discuss amongst yourselves, So, it is really like I said, avoiding that appearance of impropriety.

Meeting with Staff

You can meet with staff. So, Jim introduced himself, we have Teresa, Melissa, myself. You can talk to staff if you need to. That is not a violation of the Sunshine. Essentially, it's just members of this board. So, you can communicate. You cannot however use Jim to communicate from one board member to the other how that person may vote on an item that comes before the board. Be careful, we do have to always be cautious of that. Sometimes staff even has to remember they cannot be a conduit to express how one member might feel about an item to come before another member before the board for the vote. So, keep that in mind. You can communicate with staff, ask questions, whatever you have. Absolutely that is allowed.

Participation at other Public Meetings

Commission members and other members of this body may also attend other public meetings of other public bodies. So, it doesn't prevent you from actually going to the Board of County Commissioners or if you wanted to go to the Planning Board. You can go there, and you can actually express your opinions however, you want to be very cautious that if for some reason you went to the Board of County Commissioners and there was some item where you dissented with the other member; you don't want to start to get up, do public comment and another member from this board gets up and starts debating you. You don't want to debate through public comment. So just be cognizant of that. You can attend other board meetings, you don't want to actually start having a debate with another member in that other board meeting. That is something usually obviously the attorneys are usually aware if we see other board members there, we will caution you. Be careful, you can give your opinion just don't start debating that the other board member because that could be considered another Sunshine meeting we would have to notice for this board.

Public Records

And then just highlighting public records probably very familiar with this, but we have under Florida Statute 119 a very broad public records definition. So, all papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photos, films, recordings, made or received in connection with a transaction for official business is a public record. So that includes correspondence and email received or made by the board or commission member in connection with the business of the Board of Commission. There is no unfinished business exception and drafts are public record. So just keep that in mind that if you have an email that you are sending out, let's say you are sending it to staff, be cognizant of the fact that someone from the Florida Today or just a member of the public can request that document and actually get that document. Unless there is some type of exempt information in it, and there are exemptions, but typically they are public records. The public has a right to access these records. Florida Statute does exempt certain ones from disclosure, that is something that we review by a case-by case basis to ensure compliance to State Statues. If for some reason you do receive a request for a record, it could be just a member of public recognizes you from this commission, says I want "xyz", I want the minutes from the last meeting. Let staff know so that we can actually make sure and get the correct record to that individual. And I mean that is always the best process to just let us know so that we can take care of that, so you don't have to have any concerns regarding that particular request.

Questions or Concerns

So essentially that was a very brief overview of our Sunshine Law and the Public Records. Just keep in mind that now you are a member of this board, these requirements are placed on you. It is expected that you follow the Sunshine, make sure you do not discuss board business amongst yourselves outside of a publicly noticed meeting and be aware of the fact that all documents that are made in connection with business with this board will be considered public record unless there is some type of exemption. So, unless there are any questions, that is pretty much it. (Power Point Concluded)

D. Election of Chair and Vice Chair

Jim Liesenfelt: And I got to apologize, I forgot to have Kendall Moore introduce himself. Kendall, there he goes, he took his mic off.

Kendall Moore- Good Afternoon everyone, Liesenfelt, thank you and the staff for making this available for me today, I happened to be out of town taking my son to college, but thank you again.

Jim Liesenfelt: Thank you, Kendall.

At your seats we have a copy of the Charter, we also have a copy of the of all the members with phone number and email contacts. We made a few corrections. If we have anything incorrect or we need to update, let myself or Teresa know and we will get that updated for everybody. There is also we have a list of meeting dates you guys get to decide what the meeting dates is. We already reserved the room and time, just suggestions, but you guys can do what you like. And then we have the documents for the RF, request for qualifications for the legal services. So, your first step is as a committee is to elect a Chair and Vice Chair. The normal way to do that, is we look for a nomination of the Chair and then a second. And if there is another nomination for a chair then we will all, well not we, you will all vote on the Chair.

Matt Nye: Point of information before we move to that. I know this is the first one and we haven't even elected a Chair and all that, but is Zoom going to be a viable prospect for later meetings, or is this kind of a one off?

Jim Liesenfelt: This right now is a one off, if you all like the Zoom available, let us know and then we can make that available for future meeting. You still have to have an in-person quorum.

Abigail Jorandby: Yes, so we will still have to have an in- person quorum. Obviously, the Zoom is an option, but we would like to keep that limited, but we do need bodies in the chair so to speak.

Matt Nye: But it is an option.

Jim Liesenfelt: As you decide when to have the meetings, how to have the meetings, if you want Zoom available, just let us know and we will work it out for you.

Marie Rogerson: Well I would like to move to nominate Mike Haridopolos for Chair.

Dave Neuman: Second

Sue Schmitt: It would be helpful if everyone would turn their mic on.

Jim Liesenfelt: Ms. Rogerson made the nomination for Mr. Haridopolos and it was second by Mr. Neuman. Any other nominations?

Bob White: I nominate Matt Nye.

Jim Liesenfelt: And do we have a second?

Blaise Trettis: Second

Jim Liesenfelt: And we have a nomination for Mr. Nye by Mr. White, and a second, sorry Trettis, sorry that is what happens when you rehearse too much. Any other nominations for Chair? Okay and so we...now I am relying on the Attorney.

Abigail Jorandby: If you have one motion on the floor, so I would take a vote on that motion and see how that goes forward at that point.

Jim Liesenfelt: Okay so all in favor

Abigail Jorandby: That would be the motion for Mike.

Jim Liesenfelt: Haridopolos, I practiced that too. (laughter). So, if we can have...the best way would be hands up for voting for Mike Haridopolos as Chair. I will read off the names so that way it gets onto the minutes properly. All in favor of Mike Haridopolos please raise your hands, I am going to work this way around so we have, we have Jenkins followed by Schmitt, followed by Newell, followed by Chandler, then Neuman then Haridopolos, Oliver, Rogerson, and Trettis. All in favor oh, thank you and Kendall Moore. Kendall Moore, he even has his hand up. Thank you, appreciate that Kendall.

Alright then all for Matt Nye. We have Nye and we have White.

So officially, right? Just making sure. So, we have Mr. Mike Haridopolos as the Chair. Congratulations, and we have the center seat for you, if you would like to take it there.

Mike Haridopolos: I am fine here.

Jim Liesenfelt: Okay, as we go along, so we can have speakers and all that good stuff. Now we need nominations for Vice Chair.

Cole Oliver: I nominate Kendall Moore, he served the last two Charter Review Commissions as Chair I believe.

Jim Liesenfelt: Okay, do we have a second?

Matt Nye: I will second that

Jim Liesenfelt: Okay Mr. Nye seconded. Any other nominations for Vice Chair? No, we still need to vote on it correct? Assuming he is okay with it (laughter). Is there anyone against Kendall Moore for Vice Chair? Kendall, I think it is unanimous. Thank you very much Mr. Moore. So, your Chair is Mike Haridopolos and Vice Chair is Kendall Moore.

So, what we have for you is your first piece of business, is we have Stephen Darling our Central Services Director, one of the areas he oversees is Purchasing. He will talk to you about the RFQ for legal services. Thank you.

E. Legal Counsel Selection – Steven Darling, Director of Central Services

Stephen Darling: Thanks, Jim. As Jim said, I am Stephen Darling, Central Services Director. Last week when you got the agenda sent to you there should have been a draft copy of the RFQ. Request for qualifications for legal counsel to serve as the board's legal counsel during the Charter Review Committee meetings. If you haven't reviewed it, I would appreciate it if you could review it. Our intention is to advertise this for next week

and go out in the Florida Today and Demand Star and Vendor Link with all of our other solicitations. Once it does advertise, all appointees are prohibited from speaking with anybody, any potential respondents on the RFQ. If you were to get questioned about it, I would appreciate it if you would direct them to the Purchasing Services office and we will address their questions.

The RFQ responses if we do advertise next week will be due on September 10th to my office, at which time we would then prepare all of the submissions for the Charter Review board to review, as you guys will act as the selection committee for the legal counsel. So, upon receipt of proposal all responses will be sent to all appointees no later than September 16th via email. I can also bring you a hard copy if need be. The appointees cannot discuss the responses with other members of the board. As per Sunshine, unless it is in a publicly noticed meeting and then ideally if you keep the proposed meeting schedule, we would come to the September 23rd, I believe it is, meeting prepared to discuss and rank any and all of the responses that we received based on the evaluation criteria that is outlined in the RFQ. At that point in time, the committee will rate them, rack and stack them and the committee will be asked for a motion to either enter into negotiations with the highest rank respondent, short list a number of respondents and invite them back for presentations, or make a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners to reject all responses. Once a response, respondent is selected, at that point in time an hourly rate will be negotiated with them. A final recommendation for the firm to provide the legal services and their hourly rate as proposed and negotiated along with the draft contract will be presented to the Board of County Commissioners for final approval.

Keeping the timeline as discussed above, it is anticipated that we take that final recommendation to the Board during the October 12th meeting. At which time the legal counsel will begin attending the meetings and providing the services as required. That's it as far as the deadlines and stuff from there. I am open to any questions you guys have about the process, or anything included in the RFQ. We modeled it after previous Charter Commission's RFQ's. Okay? So, I will need a motion to approve the draft RFQ as written if there are no issues.

Sue Schmitt: Move

May Nye: I will second.

Cole Oliver: I believe Sue moved it.

Mike Haridopolos: Are we on board? Is there a question? I am just reading over the dates, I apologize.

Stephen Darling: Uh no we had a motion and a second, we just need a vote.

Mike Haridopolos: All in, any discussion? Okay, all in favor say Aye

Board: Aye

Mike Haridopolos: It is approved.

Stephen Darling: Thank you Sir.

F. Meeting Schedule

Mike Haridopolos: I think the first agenda item we are going to have obviously is on our scheduling. And before you there are three scheduled, actually two scheduled dates, and, are there many major conflicts? The next one planned is on the 23rd of September, and then next is on October 14th. Why don't we all kind of look at our calendars before we proceed and see if those dates might work. I know I might have a conflict on the first one already. But if there if that day works I will of course adjust my schedule accordingly. But look at your calendars and see how those dates look and when you all kind of pick your heads up I will look for that as a motion that you guys are ready to talk about it.

Sue Schmitt: Excuse me. Does Kendall have a copy of this?

Jim Liesenfelt: Actually, Kendall does not have a copy of the.

Mike Haridopolos: Kendall, if you can hear me this is Mike. We have September 23rd, a Thursday at 3:00 pm. And then October 14th at 3:00 pm as tentative dates for our next two meetings. Just wanted you to look at your calendar to see if those dates might or might not work, and if they don't, please let us know. We will kind of have a running discussion as this seems to be our controversial item of the day. Hope we can handle this one. (Laughter)

Cole Oliver: (off mic) Matt, last time, we tried to figure out. (inaudible several speaking at one time)

Matt Nye: Yea, I am drawing a blank on that. There is a calendaring tool where we can send it to everybody.

Jim Liesenfelt: That is a good idea. There is doodle.com or something like that. Yes, if you all like that, we can do that too.

Mike Haridopolos: I think, I think everyone is kind of, is there a reason why Thursday's were picked in the past?

Jim Liesenfelt: I am not sure what they did in the past, we went through at the same time we are going through re-districting, and so we scheduled the two of them together trying to avoid City Council meetings, different County Commission meetings, that sort of thing, so that is how we kind of landed on Thursdays for this.

Mike Haridopolos: Okay

Jim Liesenfelt: There was no preferred option, it just how it went.

Mike Haridopolos: Sure, just in general anyone have, Matt?

Matt Nye: Yeah, I have a conflict on Thursday, October 14th, can't be changed or moved and I can't do it via Zoom so.

Mike Haridopolos: Can I just ask if you would be available by Zoom that day?

Matt Nye: It would have to be the first half, before noon.

Mike Haridopolos: Okay. Other questions on. Let's go over September 23rd, first. Anyone have a conflict, a major conflict that they cannot avoid on that date? And Kendall is not raising his hand so that's a good thing. I think, why don't we go ahead with the 23rd of September right here at 3:00 pm, I think that will work out great. And then our next one is on Thursday the 14th, and I know Mr. Nye already has a concern on that date. Anyone else have a concern on the 14th of October?

Bob White: Not if it is in the afternoon.

Mike Haridopolos: And what was the date again for the selection for the legal counsel?

Jim Liesenfelt: They are returned on September, September 10th, I think that is when we receive them.

Stephen Darling: We were going to bring them back on the 23rd. We will get them out, all the responses out to the members as soon as we get them in and do the reference checks.

Mike Haridopolos: Okay

Stephen Darling: And then the plan was at the September 23rd meeting for you guys to rate them and evaluate them, and then we would go from there.

Mike Haridopolos: Ok. And we would actually have a vote that day?

Stephen Darling: You will motion that day on the next step forward which would be to either to accept the highest ranked vendor and enter into negotiations, or if there was, if you guys wanted to short list and bring them back and interview them say at this meeting or if we didn't get any good responses and you wanted to recommend to the board to reject all. That is what would come from that meeting.

Mike Haridopolos: So, the goal would be that once the selection was made for the 14th of October meeting and we would have our legal counsel and we would be ready to go.

Stephen Darling: We would present that to the Board, of County Commissioners, I believe on October 12th would be the meeting that I would present that to them and if it approved at that point in time, they would be available to be at the October 14th meeting.

Mike Haridopolos: And then again finally to the membership, are we anticipating approximately a meeting per month? Is this what you would all be comfortable with, or do

you all want to play it by ear after what we hear come October 14th? And um, open it to the floor.

Tom Jenkins: I think historically we met once a month.

Mike Haridopolos: Yea, I think that would be

Cole Oliver: Towards the end things get more hectic and you have longer meetings and more often in the past depending on how many items get brought forward. The first half of the term last time it was once a month.

Sue Schmitt: When they say it takes a year, it really doesn't, if you have a little longer, it depends on how many things people want to bring up at that point. I will say on the law firm, that the last time, because I sat on the last one, what they did was decide to short list it to three, and they had those three for interviews before the committee so that it gave you an opportunity so that if you didn't know somebody, or whatever.

Mike Haridopolos: I know in my case, I would love to hear from the three law firms before we made a decision such as that. So, it wouldn't be kind of a rushed process, because I know we will all have kind of our votes based on what they turn in. But it would be great to have a presentation, especially considering the size of the contract. It never hurts to hear what we are going to be working with. Is that okay with everyone? Perfect. So why don't we go ahead and pencil in the two dates for the 23rd and the 14th. And as we all know things do change. If there is a situation where multiple members would miss the meeting, obviously we would have to adjust, but we will have that flexibility, but it seems that the 23rd will be a date we could move forward on. And if we need to adjust after that, we will always be able to do that.

Jim Liesenfelt: And 3:00 pm is good?

Mike Haridopolos: 3:00 pm good for everyone?

Marie Rogerson: I could make it work, but I probably am the only one in this room who has child pick up at this time, so these two will work for me, I will make them work but going forward if we met more often, that could become a problem

Mike Haridopolos: That is a good, great question. Is an evening meeting better for people or is 3:00 pm meeting better for folks? Because I know most of us are working so I don't mind working after as opposed to during working hours though. Any suggestions on that?

Jordin Chandler: I am favorable to evening meetings.

Mike Haridopolos: Anyone opposed to evening meetings? Sue. Anyone else have a concern about that? Why don't we lock in the 23rd for 3:00 pm for now, and then moving forward as we adjust to our schedules once school, I have got a child at home, but we are adjusting at 3:00 as well. We will see what happens for the 14th. We will be flexible for that. This is a volunteer board, people have busy schedules, we can use as much technology as possible. People do travel a bunch. All right, we are set with the meeting schedule.

G. Public Comment

Mike Haridopolos: I see a few folks here. Oh, okay, turned in your card and everything. Fantastic. (laughter). We have a fellow named Bryan Lober here. He is a Commissioner for District 2 and he is welcome and would like to discuss item G public comment. Commissioner Lober, welcome.

Bryan Lober: Mr. Chair, I believe Mr. Darling may have had something, and I am happy to have him come up first, I don't know if it pertained to the legal contract, I saw him wiggling around in his seat, so if it is all right with you, I would like to hear from him first.

Mike Haridopolos: Just in general, my style is very open and so lets, I don't want to hold people rigidly so in the beginning lets be flexible please. So please.

Stephen Darling: Thank you Sir. Because I heard you guys mention something about having presentations and wanting to see the respondents. I can build that into the RFQ that, so we can bring them all in on the 23rd so we are not delayed another month, and then bring them back if that is amenable to the board.

Cole Oliver: What I would suggest is maybe taking the top three and bringing them in. I don't know how many are going to respond.

Stephen Darling: Well I won't know the top three because you guys are the ones that have to rate them.

Cole Oliver: Well I meant on the scoring.

Stephen Darling: Right so we could ask them all to the 23rd meeting and ask them to do presentations if that is amenable to you guys.

Bob White: Mr. Chairman, if I may. How many responses to the RFQ do you anticipate?

Stephen Darling: Last time they got six.

Bob White: Okay, so how much time would we envision allotting to each of those, say assume we got six?

Stephen Darling: So, typically we do about twenty-minute sessions when we do this for other selection committees, we do a twenty minute, like ten-minute presentation with followed by Q&A.

Mike Haridopolos: Good question. Let me ask this: When we, they have to turn it in by a certain date, we will have a few days prior to the meeting. We will be scoring prior? Or after the presentations?

Stephen Darling: You would score, the way it is set up now you would score at that meeting on the 23rd, and then based on how it was scored, if you had a clear break say between # 3 and # 4 you would probably motion to bring the top three back, uh for presentations. Because we public notice these meetings and there scheduled a month in advance. We would then be on hold until the October meeting at which time we would invite them to come in for presentations and we would do it at that meeting.

Mike Haridopolos: And I am all for hopefully your questions, hopefully there is only six or if not less, no disrespect, I love to hear from lawyers sometimes. (laughter) We might want to actually put the clock on for that one. Be a good idea. Yea, lets see how many actually come in and apply. I think maybe we give them ten minutes tops. Their resumes will speak for themselves and I sure we will all know most of the names. And if they have a nice presentation, they shouldn't need no more than ten minutes to make their case, no pun intended.

Stephen Darling: So, we will anticipate for them to present during the September meeting then?

Mike Haridopolos: That would be great.

Stephen Darling: Thank you

Mike Haridopolos: Thank you

Bryan Lober: So, I will be brief, at least by way of being brief from attorney standards. So, the short of it is I want to thank you all for your service, I think this is one of those committees where fiefdoms just really don't not make sense. This is a county wide committee as you are all aware. I know everyone here, some folks better than others. I am sure that you will do as good of a job as any other group of individuals, were they were to be in the same positions. I just want to make available an offer I made available to the re-districting committee as well. Whether or not you are in my district, if I can do anything and my office can do anything to help you out, let me know. If you need access to something that is problematic for you to find on your own, or staff to find, let me know and I will do what I can to make whatever resources I am able to bear available to you. Beyond that, I said I would be brief. Thank you very much, have a lovely day. Love and Kisses.

Mike Haridopolos: Thank you very much, and you stayed under the three minutes. All right, before we close up, are there any other public comments?

Tom Jenkins: I had an issue.

Mike Haridopolos: Of course, Tom go ahead please.

Tom Jenkins: Prior Commissions had operating rules and I was wondering if we could get operating rules from the last Charter Review Commission provided so we could adopt some operating rules?

Mike Haridopolos: I think that is a terrific idea. Let's go ahead and do that. Without objection if the staff would go ahead and do that for us for next time. That would be great. Any other comments for the good of the order?

Matt Nye: Actually, could they send those out before the next meeting just so we could

Mike Haridopolos: Even better. We are all electronically connected so that would be great. Yeah let's do that and send it out electronically. Good suggestion Matt. Any other good suggestions, Cole I know you served on this before, any other kind of housekeeping matters that you want to put out there so know so that we don't have to worry about it later.

Cole Oliver: Robert's Rules of Order is kind of the master keeper of all knowledge when it comes to those it seems like.

Matt Nye: I don't know memory is not so great these days. (laughter)

Mike Haridopolos: The Honorary Parliamentarian there.

Matt Nye: At least this time they are actually live streaming the meetings, because I remember the first one, I actually had to set up my own live stream, that caused quite the fuss, so.

Mike Haridopolos: Other comments for the good of the order? All right without objection, we will notice that on Thursday the 23rd of September we will meet again here at 3:00 pm. I appreciate everyone coming in and have a great afternoon.

H. Adjournment

Mike Haridopolos: We are adjourned.

3:36 p.m