VARIANCE HARDSHIP WORKSHEET

Is th	ne requ	est due	to a Code Enforcement action?							
	Yes.	If Yes,	indicate case number	, and						
		nar	ne of contractor							
X	No.									
Pre	requisi	tes to gi	anting of variance:							
that und for o be o retu	ditions dship. t withou ler exis establis conside	, a litera The ter ut the re sting dev shing ur ered onl ler the e	I enforcement of the provisions of thin "undue hardship" has a specific leg quested variance, the applicant will helpment regulations. Personal med due hardship sufficient to qualify any in instances where a landowner cal	to the public interest where, owing to special schapter will result in unnecessary and undue gal definition in this context and essentially means ave no reasonable use of the subject property lical reasons shall not be considered as grounds applicant for a variance. Economic reasons may not yield a reasonable use and/or reasonable. You have the right to consult a private attorney						
			ze any variance from the terms of this rs to exist:	s chapter, the Board of Adjustment shall find all of						
(1) That special conditions and circumstances exist which are not applicable to other lands, structures or buildings in the applicable zoning classification:										
	Pleas	e 5	ee allached							
_										
(2)	Th	at the s	pecial conditions and circumstances	do not result from the actions of the applicant:						
A	lease	5	a Huched							
-										
		the prov		onfer on the applicant any special privilege that is buildings or structures in the identical zoning						
	Plan	se	see attached							
n-										



(4) That literal enforcement of the provisions of this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the identical zoning classification under the provisions of this chapter and will constitute unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant:									
Pleuse	Sec	a Heiched							
(5) That the va	riance gr or structu	ranted is the minimure:	m variance that	will make possi	ble the reasonabl	e use of			
Pleuse	Sec	a Hached							
(6) That the grapher and that so the public welfare:	uch use v	the variance will be variance will not be in	e in harmony wit njurious to the a	n the general in rea involved or	ent and purpose otherwise detrime	of this ental to			
these conditions ha	ave been	above conditions app discussed with me l bility to prove compl	by the below-sig	ned zoning rep	resentative. I am	ch of fully			
Signature of applic	ant	MA			<u></u>				
Signature of planne	er								



Response to (1)

Special Conditions:

1. Contiguous to a Key Lot

The subject lot is contiguous to a key lot. This requires a side set back of 20 feet instead of the traditional 15 feet. The key lot is completely comprised of a drainage ditch. Therefore, will never be suitable for construction. The purpose of the 20 foot setback is to make sure neighboring properties front setbacks are the same, and one is not in front of the other. Since the contiguous lot will never be developed this will not be an issue. The subdivision of this area took place prior to the establishment of Brevard County Zoning. At which time, key lots were not a known issue.

2. Lot located within a Food Plain

The second special condition is that the lot is located in a flood plain requiring an elevation over 4 foot from the existing road. Consequently, a home would require a front set back of at least 40 foot to allow a proper pitch for a useable driveway.

3. Narrow Lot Shape

The shape of the lot is a narrow rectangle measuring 80 foot wide and 157 foot deep. This width, considering setbacks, would make it impossible to turn the house on the lot utilizing an Orris Ave entrance.

- 4. Lot has a wetlands located on the west end of the property along the drainage ditch.
- 5. Large oak trees (38 inch, 24 inch and 20 inch diameter) located on the back 1/3 of the property. Natural resources has asked that we preserve, if possible.

Response to (2)

The special conditions are a result of the shape of the lot, elevation requirements, subdivision of the area prior to today's zoning requirements, wetlands located on the property, and the large preservation oaks located on the property.

Response to (3)

Granting the requested variance will only correct the zoning issue related to the unbuildable key lot and the unnecessary 20 foot setback.

Response (4)

The enforcement of the 20 foot setback makes it impossible to abide by the property's current zoning and build a single family residence on the parcel in turn creating an undue hardship. The applicant brought this issue to the county during due diligence period before purchasing the lot, and was informed the side set back was 15 feet. Fast forward five months, house plans are engineered and drawn, septic permit in hand and at the end of the permitting process, the key lot issue is being revisited, requiring a 20 foot setback.

Response (5)

A five foot variance from the side set back is the minimum to fit a single family home on the lot. It would still provide the normally required 15 foot setback if the property were not contiguous to a key lot.



Response (6)

Granting this variance will be in harmony, non-injurious and non-detrimental to public welfare because it will allow for the normal residential setback of 15 feet. This variance will not be harmful to the key lot due to the fact that the key lot is completely composed of a drainage ditch and non-buildable.

